

BODY COMPOSITION ANALYSIS; A SURGE DEMAND FOR SPORTS REHABILITATION

ABSTRACT

This special report aims to highlight the role and practice of Body Composition Analysis in sports rehabilitation to enhance athletic performance by studying its various aspects such as Fat mass, lean mass, water content in the body. This report identified Inbody770 as ideal gadget for Body Composition Analysis. Fat mass analysis revealed that Body Mass Index is not an appropriate tool to determine the levels of obesity as it does not differentiate between fat mass and muscle mass rather categorizes healthy muscular individual as obese. Furthermore, Body Composition Analysis enables to investigate the proportions of muscle mass and fat mass in a specific region as well as to perform comparison analysis to identify the region of weakness and potential risk of injury. Water analysis helps to identify the cause of edema and proportions of intracellular and extracellular fluids.

KEY WORDS

Body Composition, Composition Analysis, Fat Mass, Lean Mass, Sports Rehabilitation, Athlete Performance

Irfan Niazi
Director
AAIR Medical (Rawalpindi)
irfan.niazi99@gmail.com

[Niazi I. Body Composition Analysis; A Surge Demand for Sports Rehabilitation. pak. j. rehabil.2020; 9(1):71-76]

INTRODUCTION

The term body composition refers to the amount and distribution of fat, muscle and other constituents; and associated with clinical and laboratory outcomes, normal individual as well as in medical and surgical conditions like malignancy, cardiovascular disorders and post-operative conditions¹⁻⁶. However, the understanding related to its significant and impact is scarcely present among the masses⁷. Therefore, the aim of this report is to highlight the impact and importance of Body Composition Analysis.

Body Composition Analysis

Human body composed of 4 main constituents namely; Body Water, Proteins Mass, Minerals and Muscles Mass. Body Composition Analysis provide detailed information related to these mentioned body constituents with various aspects and features. Moreover, a variety of terminologies as are used in order to improvise data related to human body constituents such as total body water, soft lean mass, fat free mass, weight etc.

Body Composition Analysis Equipment

The ideal equipment for measuring Body Composition is Inbody770. It may produce Body composition analysis report in approximately 1 minute. Report is usually printed in on A4 size sheet and recorded with reference to ID, height, age and gender.

Basic Terminologies

Total Body Water

As we all know that we have two types of fluid in the body i.e. intracellular water and Extracellular water. First one is inside our cells while later one is outside our cells. For normal healthy population the ratio between ICW and EXW is 62 and 38⁸. This ratio is for normal health

population. For edema patients or elderly population this ratio is imbalance and we can the ratio by Body Composition Analyzer 770.

Soft Lean Mass

By adding protein over total body water we can have Soft Lean Mass also known as Muscle. Soft Lean Mass consists of Total Body Water and Protein. Most people will think that our muscle is a chunk of protein but actually our muscle has not only Protein but also Water.

Fat Free Mass

Fat free Mass can be obtained by adding minerals. It's everything except Fat. So Fat Free Mass contains Total Body Water, Protein and Minerals.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Muscle Fat Analysis

There are three parameters of Fat analysis of weight, Skeleton Muscle Mass (SMM) and Body Fat Mass (BFM). This can be found in the Inbody Result Sheet. Moreover, it also enables to draw a line that connects the tips of each bar that transform into shapes such as C shape, I shape and D shape that indicate **Cautious, Ideal and Developed** respectively. Cautious specify low muscle and high fat mass that could be associated either with sarcopenia or skinny obese bodies. Contrarily, Developed identifies high muscle concentration than fat that is related to the athletic people presenting with muscular body type. This helps the practitioner to determine our patient/client body pattern as shown in figure

Obesity Analysis

Nowadays, Body Mass Index (BMI) is widely accepted and practiced as the measure of obesity. However, recent researches demonstrated that BMI is not based upon 2 parameters i.e. body weight and height and is not much reliable. In addition to this, BMI fails to differentiate between the higher fat mass and muscles

mass and simply. This difference is also profoundly demonstrated by Inbody770 through calculating body fat percentage as shown in Figure 1:

Muscle Mass Analysis

It provides muscle mass percentile as well as the Muscle Mass distribution in two bars Upper Bar and Lower Bar that helps to identify if Muscle Mass is adequate enough to support the body weight. In case of 100% muscle mass is enough to support body weight. However, below 100% is required to develop more muscles for current weight. Moreover, normal ranges for arms are 80 to 120 percent while for legs these ranges estimates upto 90 to 110 percent.

Normally, our legs are more developed comparative to arms. Therefore, lack of muscles in legs may lead to knee or ankle related injuries. The muscle analysis of the a real patient having diabetes showed that the he lacked muscle mass in lower body parts of the body as shown in figure⁹. Another important expect is the amount of visceral fat and subcutaneous fat. This ratio can be studies under Inbody770 in graphical form. According to the researches, presence of visceral fat above 100 cm increases the risk of Diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular diseases (Figure-2_.




Figure 1: Shows fat mass analysis




Figure 2: Shows Muscles mass analysis

Actually, muscles are a major site of glucose storage. Therefore, glucose get stored in muscles and lower extremity has more muscle mass but incase if a person is having less muscle mass in lower limbs, the capacity of glucose storage and utility will decrease resulting in higher blood glucose levels. Hence, this was the reason his results showed to have more subcutaneous as well as visceral fat. Furthermore, muscles imbalances are also noted such as; slight Imbalance of 1-2 bar difference between arms and legs, 6-10% difference between arms and Slight imbalance of 3-5 % between legs. Eventually, this also helps to predict the potential risk of injury in any specific limb.

Water Analysis

Water analysis helps to evaluate the content and amount of water stored in human body as well as its distribution into intracellular and extracellular fluid contents. Therefore, this system enables us to provide a direction to frame provisional diagnosis for various conditions such as edema, inflammation or muscle soreness etc. and also helps to provide insight of different stages of injury such as before injury, injury stage and recovery stage as in the Figure-3.



Figure 3: Shows Water Analysis

DISCUSSION

The importance of body composition analysis has been discussed above. This is now evident that body composition analysis can produced drastic change in the field of sports rehabilitation by means of better assessment, examination, analysis, prognosis and selection of treatment outcome. Moreover, ability to predict potential risk of muscular injury as week areas of weakness in muscle, ligament, tendons and bone; may

tremendously change the approach of practice in to more exclusive, target and scientific methods. However, the practical implication of this notice approach is still very limited and practitioners are scarcely aware of these developments. A meta-analysis conducted recently, indicated significance of body composition analysis as a clinically useful tool for esophageal cancer¹⁰. Another study conducted 2017 specifically discussed the validity of a software body composition analysis¹¹. There is an inevitable need to conduct

more studies in order to find valid applications for various conditions.

CONCLUSION

This report shows the evidence that Body Composition Analysis may be used as a diagnostic as well as prognostic modality for the field of sports rehabilitation to enhance athletic performance and to prevent from potential risk of injury and dehydration.

REFERENCE

- [1] Gonzalez MC, Pastore CA, Orlandi SP, Heymsfield SB. Obesity paradox in cancer: new insights provided by body composition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;99(5):999–1005.
- [2] Aust S, Knogler T, Pils D, et al. Skeletal muscle depletion and markers for cancer cachexia are strong prognostic factors in epithelial ovarian cancer. *PLoS One* 2015;10(10):e0140403.
- [3] Malietzis G, Currie AC, Athanasiou T, et al. Influence of body composition profile on outcomes following colorectal cancer surgery. *Br J Surg* 2016;103(5):572–580.
- [4] Jean N, Somers VK, Sochor O, Medina-Inojosa J, Llano EM, Lopez-Jimenez F. Normal-weight obesity: implications for cardiovascular health. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2014;16(12):464.
- [5] Sheetz KH, Waits SA, Terjimanian MN, et al. Cost of major surgery in the sarcopenic patient. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013;217(5):813–818.
- [6] Sugimoto M, Farnell MB, Nagorney DM, et al. Decreased skeletal muscle volume is a predictive factor for poorer survival in patients undergoing surgical resection for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2018;22(5):831–839.
- [7] Abelson P, Kennedy D. The obesity epidemic. *Science* 2004;304(5676):1413–1413
- [8] Tanaka S, Ando K, Kobayashi K, Hida T, Seki T, Suzuki K, Ito K, Tsushima M, Morozumi M, Machino M, Ota K. Relationship between locomotive syndrome and body composition among community-dwelling middle-age and elderly individuals in Japan: the Yakumo study. *Modern rheumatology*. 2019 May 4;29(3):491-5.
- [9] Hashimoto Y et al. The relationship between hepatic steatosis and skeletal muscle mass index in men with type 2 diabetes. *Endocr J*. 2016 Oct 29;63(10):877-884.
- [10] Boshier PR, Heneghan R, Marker SR, Baracos VE, Low DE. Assessment of body composition and sarcopenia in patient with esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Disease of Esophagus* 2018;31(8):407
- [11] Takahashi N, Sugimoto M, Psutka SP, Chen B, Moynagh MR, Carter RE. Validation study of a new semi-automated software program for CT body composition analysis. *Abdominal radiology*. 2017;42(9):2369-75.



© Pakistan Journal of Rehabilitation. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly cited. Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).