RESEARCH REPORT

DIASTASIS RECTI AMONG PREGNANT AND POSTPARTUM WOMEN IN KANO, NIGERIA: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

ABSTRACT

Diastasis Recti (DR) is a common muscular condition often present during and after pregnancy. This cross-sectional correlational study investigated the prevalence of DR among pregnant and postpartum women. A total of 250 pregnant and postpartum women were recruited using convenience sampling technique from obstetrics and gynaecology department, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria. Age, body mass, height, BMI, parity, trimester, duration of DR postpartum, location of DR and DR status was recorded for each participant. Presence of DR was confirmed using the finger width method. Descriptive statistics of mean, SD, percentage were used to describe the data and determine the prevalence. Inferential statistics of Pearson's and Spearman's correlation were used to analyse the relationship between prevalence of DR and age, parity and duration of DR postpartum. Chi square was computed to determine the difference in prevalence of DR across trimesters. The result of this study indicated high prevalence of DR in post-partum and pregnant women (84% and 64.7% respectively); the most common location of DR was at the umbilicus in both pregnant and postpartum women. Prevalence of DR was high among the multigravida; and a significant relationship was found between prevalence of DR and age and parity of participants. There was a significant difference in prevalence of DR across the trimesters. It can be concluded that prevalence of DR among pregnant and postpartum women is high; age and parity are determinants of DR prevalence. Evaluation of DR should be considered as routine assessment in both pregnant and postpartum women.

Fatima Bichi

Physiotherapist Physiotherapy Department, General Ahmadi Rimi Orthopedic and Specialty Hospital, Katsina, Nigeria fatimabichi@gmail.com

Dr. Shmaila Hanif

Assistant Professor Physiotherapy Department, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, College of Heath Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria shelllshelll@yahoo.com

KEYWORDS

Diastasis Recti, Prevalence, Postpartum, Pregnancy, Location, Trimester

[Bichi F, Hanif S. Diastasis Recti among Pregnant and Postpartum Women in Kano, Nigeria: A Cross-Sectional Study. Pak. j. rehabil. 2017;6(1):15-20]

INTRODUCTION

Diastasis Recti (DR) is a muscular condition which occurs commonly during pregnancy and postpartum¹⁻⁴; having main predisposing factors as multiple pregnancies, high body mass index, multiparity, large fetus, flaccid abdominal muscles and polyhydramnios⁵. It may be a transitory condition^{6,7} or may remain throughout life^{2,3,8}. Diastasis recti is a midline separation of the two rectus abdominis muscle along the linea alba which causes widening of the linea alba^{1,9}. This condition is the most obvious visible change during and after pregnancy (postpartum)^{10,11}.

Hormonal changes caused by relaxin, progesterone and estrogen coupled with growth of the uterus during pregnancy may stretch the abdominal muscles, which may affect the rectus abdominal muscles¹²⁻¹⁴; thus leading to occurrence of DR¹⁵. Excessive distension may interfere the function of the abdominal muscles in stabilizing the trunk and this may lead to development of lumbar pain^{2,8}. Diastasis recti may appear as a ridge running in the midline of the abdomen, between the xyphoid process and the umbilicus. Straining the abdominal muscles makes the DR more prominent, though it may disappear once relaxed¹⁶.

Diastasis recti commonly occur in women who have had multiple pregnancies, because the muscles have become stretched during each pregnancy^{17,18}. Expansion of the abdomen is the most visible change that occurs during pregnancy causing some abdomens to become extensively damaged with very few accommodating this stretch¹⁰.

In early pregnancy, the signs of DR include extra skin and soft tissue in the front of the abdominal wall^{17,18}. However, with increasing size of abdomen during pregnancy, the top of the uterus bulges out of the abdominal wall with an outline of some parts of the fetus in severe cases of DR^{17,18}. Diastasis recti of different severities that occur in pregnancy may not resolve on its own postpartum¹⁷.

Boissonnault and Blaschak¹⁹ reported a greater prevalence of DR during pregnancy (in the 3rd trimester) than during the early postpartum period. Boissonnault and Blaschak¹⁹ also reported a higher prevalence of DR in immediate postpartum period than in later postpartum period. There was a high prevalence of postpartum DR in the study conducted by Spitznagle et al³. With respect to parity, Lo, Candido and Janssen²⁰ reported a high prevalence of DR postpartum among multiparous compared to nulliparous and the incidence of DR increases with increasing age and parity of the mother. Similarly, Spitznagle et al³ reported a comparatively higher prevalence of DR among older (over 50 years) and multiparous women as compared to a study

conducted by Lo et al²⁰. The location of DR has been found out to vary at the umbilicus, above or below the umbilicus^{4,15,19} and this information is yet undiscovered in this part of the country.

A number of studies^{3,11,19,21,22} reported that the presence of DR can predispose an individual to certain conditions such as affectation of trunk stability, respiration, bowel movement, visceral support, and urinary as well as fecal incontinence. Studies have not been carried out here in Kano concerning DR. Thus, the major purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of DR in primigravida and multigravida pregnant and postpartum women at different DR locations in Kano, Nigeria. Also, this study determined relationship between the prevalence DR, age, parity and duration of DR postpartum.

METHODS

This study is a cross-sectional correlational study. The population of this study comprised of pregnant and postpartum women in Obstetrics and Gynecology (O & G) department, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital (AKTH), Kano, Nigeria. A total of 250 subjects were drawn from O&G department, both antenatal and post-natal clinics, AKTH, Kano. Convenient sampling technique was employed for the recruitment of study participants with the following inclusion and exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

Subjects aged between 15-55 years; both pregnant and postpartum women; postpartum women who have had either normal delivery/ caesarian section (CS); recruitment would be 8 weeks postpartum.

Exclusion Criteria

Women with abdominal surgeries (except CS)

Data Collection Instrument

These included:

- 1) Finger width method¹⁹: This was used to determine the presence DR.
- 2) Stadiometer (SECA gmbh/Germany): This was used to assess height and body mass of participants.

Data Collection Procedure

Approval to conduct the study was sought from the ethical committee of AKTH, Kano. Subjects were recruited from O & G department, both the ante-natal and post-natal clinics, AKTH. They were provided with information concerning the study and a consent form to sign. The following were recorded:

- 1) Age: The age of participants was recorded in years.
- 2) Parity: The number of deliveries was recorded.
- 3) Duration of DR Postpartum: This was recorded in

weeks/months.

- 4) Stature: Participants height was measure barefoot or wearing thin socks. The participants were instructed to stand with their back against the stadiometer with their heels together. The horizontal bar was placed down firmly onto the top of the head and the measurement was recorded²³.
- 5) Body Mass: Participant weights were measured using a standard weighing scale with provision for calibration. Subjects were asked to present themselves in light clothing and to remove all heavy objects prior to measurement. They were then instructed to step on the weighing scale barefooted and stand erect, with the face looking straight forward and their hands by the side. The reading was then taken and recorded to the nearest 0.5kg²⁴.
- 6) Body Mass Index (BMI): BMI is computed by diving the participants' weight in kilogram to the square of their height in meter²⁵.

BMI²⁶= Body mass (kg)/Stature (m²)

- 7) Trimester: This was recorded as first, second or third trimester.
- 8) Location of DR: this was recorded as either above, at or below the umbilicus.
- 9) Inter-recti distance & location of DR: The subjects were examined for the presence of DR using the finger width technique. DR was measured with fingers because that is the easiest way to tell exactly how far the muscles have separated. Diastasis recti was classified as present or absent according to Nobles criteria¹, that is, any separation above, below or at the umbilicus of less than or equals to two finger width was considered normal and anything greater constitute a DR. When using the finger width technique, the subject lay supine with the hip and knee flexed at 90°, feet flat and arms extended. The subject was then instructed to perform a forward trunk flexion until the inferior angle of the scapula is off the bed.

The reference points for DR measurement are at, above and below the umbilicus. The assessor places the fingers perpendicularly between the rectus abdominis muscles on forward flexion of the trunk. The number of fingers between the medial edges of the rectus abdomins muscles, above and below the umbilicus, was used to grade the severity of diastasis. Each finger breadth is assumed to represents 1.5 cm. Diastasis recti was considered present and relevant if th separation was greater than 2 cm between the two recti muscles.

10) Location of DR: This was recorded as above, at or below the umbilicus.

Data Analysis Procedure

Descriptive and inferential statistics was used to analyze data. The mean and standard deviation was used to describe the age, body mass and stature of study participants. Simple percentage was computed to determine the most common location of DR and the prevalence of DR. Pearson product moment correlation was used to determine the relationship between the prevalence of DR with mothers' age and duration postpartum. Spearman rank order correlation was computed to determine the relationship between the prevalence of DR and parity. Chi square was used to determine significant difference in prevalence of DR across the trimesters. Statistical package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 15.0 was used to analyze data. Probability level of 0.05 or less was considered in all analysis.

RESULT

A total number of 250 subjects participated in this study; 150 pregnant and 100 postpartum women. The duration of DR postpartum was within the range of 8 weeks to 2 years. The participants have been described in Table 1. It shows that the participants fall within the middle age and normal BMI category.

Table 1: Physical characteristics of participants (n=250)				
Variables	Pregnant Women (n=150) Mean+SD	Postpartum Women (n=150) Mean+SD		
Age (years)	28.88+7.2	29.22+5.5		
BM (kg)	65.63+12.1	62.24+11.5		
Stature (m)	1.61+0.93	1.61+0.85		
BMI (kg/m²)	25.34+4.75	24.03+3.97		

DR status of participants (pregnant and postpartum) is shown in table 2 and it is categorized as either present or absent. Table 2 shows that there is a high prevalence of DR among both pregnant and postpartum women have DR.

Table 2: Prevalence of DR among pregnant and postpartum women						
DR Status	Pregnant Women n (%)	Postpartum Women n (%)	Total			
Absent	53(35.3%)	16 (16.0%)	69 (27.6%)			
Present	97 (64.7%)	84 (84.0%)	181 (72.4%)			
Total	150 (100%)	100(100%)	250 (100%)			

The site of DR of all study participants (pregnant and postpartum women) is shown in the table 3. The umbilicus was the most common site for DR in both pregnant and postpartum women, followed by DR above the umbilicus with the least proportion of women having a DR below the umbilicus.

Table 3: Site of DR in pregnant and postpartum women (n = 250)					
Site of DR	Pregnant Women n (%)	Postpartum Women n (%)			
Above umbilicus	53 (35.3)	38 (38.0)			
At umbilicus	98 (65.3)	84 (84.0)			
Below umbilicus	21 (14.0)	11(11.0)			
Total	150 (100)	100 (100)			

Prevalence of DR with respect to number of pregnancies (parity) is shown in table 4. It shows that DR is more prevalent in multigravida.

Table 4: Prevalence of DR with respect to parity (n = 250)					
DR	Primigr avida n (%)	Multi gravida n (%)	Total n (%)		
Absent	47 (60.3)	22 (12.8)	69 (27.6)		
Present	31 (39.7)	150 (87.2)	181 (72.4)		
Total	78(100)	172(100)	250 (100)		

Prevalence of DR across the trimesters among pregnant women is shown in table 5. There is equal number of participants in each trimester. Majority of participants in the second and third trimester were diagnosed with DR, with very few having DR in the first trimester.

Table 5: Prevalence of DR across the trimesters among pregnant women					
DR Status	First Trimester n (%)	Second Trimester n (%)	Third Trimester n (%)	Total n (%)	
Absent	27(54)	15 (30)	11 (22)	53 (35.3)	
Present	23(46)	35 (60)	38 (76)	96 (64)	
Total	50(33.3)	50 (33.3)	50 (33.3)	150 (100)	

Table 6 shows the relationship between prevalence of DR and age, parity and duration of DR postpartum using Pearson's and Spearman correlation. There is a significant positive relationship (P<0.05) between prevalence of DR and age. Similarly, there is also a significant positive relationship (P<0.05) between prevalence of DR and parity. This can further be explained; as age and parity increases, prevalence of DR increases.

Table 6: Relationship between prevalence of DR and age, parity and duration postpartum					
Variables	r	p-value			
Age	0.35**	0.00			
Parity	0.47**	0.00			
Postpartum Duration	-0.18	0.06			

The difference in prevalence of DR across the trimesters of pregnancy using Chi-square is shown in table 7. There is a significant difference (P<0.05) in prevalence of DR across the three trimesters.

Table 7: Difference in Prevalence of DR across the trimesters of pregnancy							
Trimesters							
First Second Third Total X ² p-valu						p-value	
DR Status							
Absent	FO	27.7	15	11	53	12.14*	0.002
	FE	17.7	17.7	17.7	53.3	1	
Present	FO	23	35	39	97		
	FE	32.3	32.3	32.3	97.0		
Total	FO	50	50	50	150		
	FE	50.0	50.0	50.0	150.0	1	

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of DR in this study was found to be high in pregnant women and postpartum women. This is consistent with the result of Boissonnault and Blaschak¹⁹ who reported high prevalence of DR during pregnancy and following delivery. Lo et al²⁰ also reported a higher prevalence of DR in postpartum women which is also in line with the findings of this study. The findings of this study also show that DR may not resolve spontaneously after pregnancy. This can be as a result of the continuous stress and stretch on the soft tissues of the abdominal wall exerted by the gravid uterus during pregnancy and poor abdominal conditioning. The number of pregnancies (parity) or children a woman has can also contribute to the high prevalence of DR in the population studied. The results also suggest that advancing pregnancy influences the strength of linea alba and in many cases results in a separation between the recti muscles.

This study revealed that majority of pregnant and postpartum women had DR at the umbilicus, followed by DR above the umbilicus with least proportion below the umbilicus. Boissonnault and Blaschak¹⁹ found that majority of participants in their study had DR at the umbilicus with some above the umbilicus and very few below the umbilicus, similar to the findings of this study. Parker, Millar and Dugan⁴ found the most common location for DR to be at the umbilicus, which is in line with the findings of this study. This could be explained by the fact that the anterior aspect of the rectus sheath is presumed to be stronger below the umbilicus¹⁹; this increased reinforcement might be enough to prevent separation in this area. Another probable reason for it being the most common at the umbilicus is because of the stretch the soft tissues are subjected to which is exerted by the growing fetus during pregnancy. This stretch tends to be more at the umbilical region.

There was a relationship between the prevalence of DR and age and parity. These results support

previous studies reporting a strong relationship between the presence of DR and age and parity^{2,19,20}. Diastasis recti was higher in women with greater parity; this finding is similar to the finding of Chiarello, Falzone, McCaslin, Patel and Ulery^{2,20}. Also, it was found out that DR is more common in multiparous women. This can be as a result of weak abdominal wall muscles or as a result of repeated stretch of the abdominal wall muscles due to previous pregnancies. Other factors such as poor abdominal conditioning may have contributed to separation contributing to the deterioration of DR². Age is also a precipitating factor that can facilitate the appearance of a DR because as a woman ages, the abdominal and other muscles in the body tends to be weak.

The prevalence of DR across the trimesters varies in pregnant women. The study result indicated that the prevalence of DR is highest in the third trimester of pregnancy. This is consistent with the findings of Boissonnault and Blaschak¹⁹ who reported the prevalence of DR to be higher in the third trimester followed by second trimester. This can be as a result of hormonal changes coupled with growth of the fetus in the uterus which cause stretching of the abdominal muscles.

Prevalence of DR did not differ between pregnant and postpartum women. This is not consistent with the findings of Boissonnault and Blaschak¹⁹ who reported a greater prevalence of DR during pregnancy than the immediate postpartum duration. A number of factors could have accounted for this outcome: poor abdominal conditioning before and during pregnancy and other factors that could precipitate DR. Limitations of the study include varied sample size within the group between pregnant and postpartum women. Also, the age range was high, hence increasing the standard deviation of the result.

CONCLUSION

Prevalence of DR is high in pregnant and postpartum women and it is related to mothers' age and parity. The most common site of DR was at the umbilicus in both pregnant and postpartum women. Evaluation of DR should be considered a routine assessment in both pregnant and postpartum women to ascertain those who would need attention. All women with DR need to receive physical therapy management soon postpartum for a better recovery. Also, physical activity during pregnancy is also recommended.

REFERENCES

- [1] Noble E. Essential Exercises for the Childbearing Year. A guide to Health and comfort before and after your baby is born. 4th ed. USA: New Live Images; 1995.
- [2] Chiarello C, Falzone LA, MaCaslin KE, Patel MN, Ulery KR. The effects of an exercise program on diastasis recti abdominis in pregnant women. J Womens Health Phys Ther. 2005;29:76
- [3] Spitznagle TM, Leong FC, Van Dillen LR. Prevalence of diastasis recti abdominis in aurogyne-cological patient population. Int Urogyneco J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2007;18(3):321-328
- [4] Parker MA, Millar AL, Dugan SA. Diastasis Rectus Abdominis and Lumbo-Pelvic Pain and Dysfunction-Are They Related? Journal of Women's Health Physical Therapy. 2008;32(1):15-22
- [5] Mesquita LA, Machado AV, Andrade AV. Fisioterapia para Redução da diastase dos Músculos Retos Abdominais no Pós-Parto. Rev. Bras. Ginecol. Obstet. 1999;21(5):267-272
- [6] Benjamin DR, van de Water AT, Peiris CL. Effects of exercise on diastasis of the rectus abdominis muscle in the antenatal and postnatal periods: a systematic review. Physiotherapy. 2014;100(1):1-8
- [7] Gilleard WL, Brown JM. Structure and function of the abdominal muscles in primary gravid subjects during pregnancy and the immediate post birth period. Phys Ther. 1996;76(7):750-762
- [8] Artal R, O'Toole M, White S. Guidelines of the America College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists for exercise during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Br J Sports Med. 2003;37(1):6-12
- [9] Kalika D. New York Dynamic neuromuscular rehabilitation and physical therapy. Diastasis recti. 20th August 2010. New York
- [10] Boxer S, Jones S. Inter-rater reliability of rectus abdominis diastasis measurement using dial calipers. Aust J Physiother. 1997;43(2):109-114
- [11] Booth D, Chennells M, Jones D, Price A. Assessment of abdominal muscle exercises in non-pregnant, pregnant and postpartum subjects, using electromyography. Aust J Physiother. 1980;26(5):177-197
- [12] Lee DG. Diastasis rectus abdominis & postpartum health consideration for exercise training. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2007;12:333-348
- [13] Szlachter BN, Quagliarello J, Jewelewicz R, Osathanondh R, Spellacy WN, Weiss G. Relaxin in normal and pathogenic pregnancies. Obstet Gynaecol. 1982;59(2):167-170

- [14] MacLennan AH, Nicholson R, Green RC. Serum relaxin in pregnancy. Lancet. 1986;2(8501):243-245
- [15] Bursch SG. Interrater reliability of diastasis recti abdominis measurement. Phys Ther. 1987; 67(7):1077-1079
- [16] Rice R. Home care nursing practice: concepts and application. 4th ed. USA: Mosby Elsevier; 2006.
- [17] Rett MT, Braga MD, Bernardes NO, Andrade SC. Prevalence of diastasis of the rectus abdominis muscles immediately postpartum: comparison between primiparae and multiparae. Rev Bras Fisioter. 2009;13(4):275-280
- [18] Sperstad JB, Tennfjord MK, Hilde G, Ellstrom-Engh M, Bo K. Diastasis recti abdominis during pregnancy and 12 months after childbirth: prevalence, risk factors and report of lumbo-pelvic pain. BrJ Sports Med. 2016;50:1092-1096
- [19] Boissonnault JS, Blaschak MJ. Incidence of diastasis recti abdominis during the childbearing year. Phys Ther. 1988;68(7):1082-1086
- [20] Lo T, Candido G, Janssen P. Diastasis of the recti abdominis in pregnancy: Risk factors and treat-

- ment. Physio ther Canada. 1999;51(1):32-37
- [21] Polden M, Mantle J. Physiotherapy in obstetrics and gynaecology. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd. 1992
- [22] Tupler J. Treatment for diastasis recti. Tupler Technique. 2nd March 2012. USA.
- [23] Femandes da Mota PG, Pascoal AG, Carita AI, Bo K. Prevalence and risk factors of diastasis recti abdominis from late pregnancy to 6 months postpartum, and relationship with lumbo-pelvic pain. Man Ther. 2015;20(1):200-205
- [24] Stewart AD, Eston R. Surface anthropometry. Sport and Exercise Physiology Testing Guidelines. Vol. II: New York: Routledge; 2006
- [25] Florey C. The use and interpretation of ponderal index and other weight-height ratios in epidemiological studies. J Chronic Dis. 1970;23(2):93-103.
- [26] Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Boyce WF, Vereecken C, Mulvihill C, Roberts C, Currie C, Pickett W et al. Comparison of overweight and obesity prevalence in school-aged youth from 34 countries and their relationships with physical activity and dietary patterns. Obes Rev. 2005;6(2):123-132